


**Q11 The following non-designated heritage assets are added as part of the Neighbourhood Plan Review: The Telephone Boxes at The Hollow and Haglands Lane The Bus Shelter at Common Hill The Reading Rooms, Church Street The Wells' Houses - see Appendix 4 The Heritage Finger Posts - see Appendix 3 Do you agree with the additions?**

Answered: 419 Skipped: 258



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	95.23%	399
No	0.24%	1
If no please tell us why.	4.53%	19
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>419</b>

#	IF NO PLEASE TELL US WHY.	DATE
1	No council clearance/upkeep support.....destroying area character	9/17/2021 4:59 PM
2	Largely unnecessary-e.g. Wells Houses were thatched when built but are almost exclusively tiled now	9/15/2021 11:30 AM
3	Agree with most but for the Phone boxes only if they have a use, not if they just fall into disrepair. (like Nutbourne).	9/11/2021 12:42 PM
4	I am supportive in general providing that this does not lead to a listed status such as the requirement to maintain thatched roofs, and difficulties in building on to existing buildings> one should no "list a building" after it has been purchased as that could lead to legal and financial issues.	9/6/2021 3:27 PM
5	Window dressing.	8/17/2021 1:58 PM
6	The wells house isn't exactly old and you could still build houses like these	8/17/2021 12:53 PM
7	Another reason to waste parish money like bus shelter at Queens Head pub	8/17/2021 12:25 PM
8	Very few of the Wells cottages have not already been extended & altered and any that haven't probably need extending & altering. Most of them are very small, have low ground floor ceilings and awkward sloping first floor ceilings. They have generally been built back to front and we're	8/16/2021 9:10 PM

## West Chiltington Neighbourhood Plan

never designed as family dwellings. People who want to preserve them as they were originally should think again. They are often on wastefulness large plots and should be improved or their plots subdivided.

9	The Wells cottages should not be placed under special restrictions. They do not represent any historical significance since they were built to look old so should not be treated any differently than other 1930's houses. Most of them have had additions to the original design and the majority have had their thatches replaced with tile. Requiring owners to retain their thatched roofs or denying them extensions or modifications seems to be a punitive step for owners who purchased their properties understanding they were not subject to listed building restrictions.	8/9/2021 5:24 PM
10	Wells Houses should be re-roofed in thatch and the increasing trend to replace with tiling should be restricted.	8/5/2021 10:26 PM
11	Why not sell the Reading Rooms - to become a small bungalow and move the Parish office over to the Village hall area - also accommodating a youth club in the new building.	8/2/2021 7:10 AM
12	na	8/1/2021 9:42 AM
13	Telephone boxes likely to be along term nuisance. we are not a museum!	7/29/2021 11:50 AM
14	This is a 'yes, but...' as I cannot see any reference to the recently built bus shelter opposite the Queens Head pub.	7/27/2021 4:10 PM
15	Why not protect a farm instead of a telephone box?	7/27/2021 9:18 AM
16	Wells houses are not needed in this change	7/25/2021 10:11 AM
17	Permitted development for Wells Houses and their gardens should remain as is. Some of them are small and sit on large plots of land. If I owned one I would not want the parish council preventing my from improving my home or selling off part of my vast garden to build another house. This is already a built up area so, as long as properties built in gardens are sympathetic to those that surround them they should be encouraged. West Chiltington needs more housing, allow building in already developed parts of the village rather than extending housing into green space.	7/24/2021 5:15 PM
18	As above	7/24/2021 3:15 PM
19	As a Wells Cottage owner I have no desire whatsoever to have the opportunity for future permitted development proposals to be removed.	7/24/2021 1:52 PM